

Planning Team Report

Coffs Harbour LEP 2 Beach and Moonee E	013 - amendment deferred : 3each.	areas Hearnes Lake, Sa	ndy Beach, Emerald
Proposal Title :	Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 - ame Beach and Moonee Beach.	endment deferred areas Hea	rnes Lake, Sandy Beach, Emerald
Proposal Summary :	To draft appropriate planning Beach, Emerald Beach and M		l areas at Hearnes Lake, Sandy arbour LEP 2013.
PP Number :	PP_2013_COFFS_002_00	Dop File No :	13/12056
Proposal Details	and an end to be have	a market and the second second	- A BAR AND
Date Planning Proposal Received :	17-Jul-2013	LGA covered :	Coffs Harbour
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Coffs Harbour City Council
State Electorate :	OXLEY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Policy		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Var	rious deferred areas at Hearnes I	ake, Sandy Beach, Emerald	Beach and Moonee Beach
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Di Yeates		
Contact Number :	0266416612		
Contact Email :	di.yeates@planning.nsw.gov.a	u	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Harpreet Jenkins		
Contact Number :	0266484659		
Contact Email :	harpreet.jenkins@chcc.nsw.go	v.au	
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Jim Clark		
Contact Number :	0266416604		
Contact Email :	jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au	1	
Land Release Data	a		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub	Mid North Coast Regional	Consistent with Strategy	
Regional Strategy :	Strategy		,

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Governmen	t Yes		
Lobbyists Code of			
Conduct has been			
complied with :			
f No, comment :		ng and Infrastructure's Code of P has been complied with to the b	
Have there been meetings or	Νο		
communications with registered lobbyists? :			
If Yes, comment :		met with any lobbyists in relation ny meeting between other Depart	to this proposal, nor has Northern mental officers and lobbyists
upporting notes			
nternal Supporting Notes :		sible to calculate the number of lo here are significant constraints o e.	
	A significant part of the d former Part 3A of the EP&	eferred areas has various concep A Act.	ot plan approvals under the
	Council has engaged a co the drafting of planning p		prehensive local study to inform
External Supporting Notes :		er these lands was the result of re chibition of draft LEP 2013.	epresentations received from
	Objections were raised th	at the proposed zones recomme	nded under draft LEP 2013 were
		hensive Local Environmental Stu	
quacy Assessme	nt		termination want of some
tatement of the ol	ojectives - s55(2)(a)		
is a statement of the o	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	provisions (including a	nt environmental study to inform appropriate zone boundaries) for learnes Lake, Sandy Beach, Emer	the areas deferred from Coffs
xplanation of pro	visions provided - s55(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pr	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	It is adequate at this s	age.	
	The consultant local s	tudy will provide recommendatio	ns for drafting the LEP for the

It is understood that the study outcomes will define appropriate zone boundaries and other planning controls as required to guide future development of these areas.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

Is the Director General's agreement required? Unknown

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : The deferred areas include 11 areas that have been, or are, the subject of former Part 3A applications. Seven of these have been determined, two have been withdrawn, one has been revoked and one is currently on exhibition until 01/08/13. It is also understood that one area which had a determination permitting certain development has subsequently been purchased by RMS as an offset area for the current Pacific Highway bypass project. It is expected that this land will now be zoned for environmental protection reflecting its "biodiversity offset" status.

Apart from this change, Council should ensure that any proposed planning provisions reflect current approvals issued by the department under the Part 3A or the transitional Part 3A provisions of the Act.

Council should also consider any current applications under the transitional Part 3A provisions.

To ensure that this occurs it is recommended that delegation not be issued to Council for this PP and that the planning proposal be submitted for approved by the Director General (or delegate) prior to exhibition.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Unknown

If No, explain :

At this stage it is not known what s117 Directions or SEPPs will be relevant. This will be determined as a result of the study and the drafting of the LEP.

Council may need to obtain the agreement of the Director General to comply with the requirements of some relevant S117 Directions. Should this be the case Council will need to ensure this occurs prior to the plan being made.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

 Is mapping provided? No

 Comment :
 At this stage there are no draft maps. It is intended that on completion of the environmental study that the PP will be drafted to reflect the recommendations of the study. This will include maps consistent with the SI mapping guidelines as required.

 Council has indicated the draft PP will include relevant maps, including but not limited to proposed zones, for the areas indicated on the deferred areas map submitted with the PP information.

 In particular the zoning maps should reflect relevant current approvals issued by the department, including concept plan and project approvals made under the Part 3A or the transitional Part 3A provisions of the Act. Council should also consider any current applications under the transitional Part 3A provisions.

Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consulta	ation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	The RPA proposes the normal public exhibition of the PP for community consultation.
14	Council is of the view that the planning proposal doesn't fit within the definition of a low impact proposal and should be exhibited for a period of 28 days.
Additional Director (General's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal meet	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	Although the local study and the final details of the draft LEP are not yet available, the information submitted with the planning proposal is considered adequate at this stage to allow the Gateway to be determined.
	The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by: 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes; 2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed for the LEP to
	achieve the outcomes;
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;
	4. Outlining that community consultation will be undertaken; 5. Providing a project timeline; and
	6. Providing an evaluation for the delegation of plan making functions.
	The project time line of 10 months provided by Council is appropriate for this planning proposal. A 12 month time frame to complete the plan is recommended.
Proposal Assessment	a trible of the barrent of Schuber are trading to the Physics of Schuber of
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : August 2014	4
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 is expected to be published in the very near future. This planning proposal seeks to amend Coffs Harbour LEP 2013.
	This land was deferred from the draft Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 to allow for additional environmental studies to be undertaken on the sites.
Assessment Criteria	environmental studies to be undertaken on the sites.
Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal :	environmental studies to be undertaken on the sites.
Need for planning	environmental studies to be undertaken on the sites. Draft amendments to Coffs Harbour LEP 2000 were commenced for Moonee (Amendment No.24) and Hearnes Lake/Sandy Beach (Amendment No.29). Although exhibited, these

While the areas are deferred from Coffs LEP 2013 the existing planning provisions (Coffs Harbour LEP 2000) prevail. These are 13 years old and do not reflect the best planning outcomes for the land. It is appropriate that an evidence based amendment to Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 is prepared to provide standard instrument planning provisions for the deferred lands. Council prepared a LGA-wide settlement strategy called "Our Living City (OLC) Settlement Consistency with Strategy" in 2008. The OLC Settlement Strategy identified additional candidate areas for strategic planning residential zoning across Coffs Harbour local government area. framework : The OLC Strategy was approved (with conditions) by the Director General on 11 November 2009. Those areas in the OLC local strategy that were also identified in the Agreed Growth Areas of the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy (MNCRS) were those that were approved. The MNCRS includes Moonee and the Hearnes Lake/Sandy Beach areas of the deferred lands in the agreed growth areas. However, the deferred area north of Emerald Beach (which is environmentally significant) is not included in the growth area boundary. The majority of the land in the north Emerald Beach deferred area was a Part 3A matter which has since been revoked. Council, in its s65 exhibition map for the draft Coffs Harbour LEP 2013, had proposed to zone all of the north Emerald Beach deferred land E2 Environmental Conservation apart from the SP2 Infrastructure strip that forms part of the easement for the Pacific Highway along the length of the western boundary of the land. It is considered appropriate to recommend that the planning proposal (including appropriate mapping) be submitted to the Department prior to exhibition so that its form can be approved by the Director General (or delegate) pursuant to section 57(2). At this stage it is not known what s117 Directions or SEPPs will be relevant. This will be determined as a result of the study and the drafting of the LEP. Council may need to obtain the agreement of the Director General to comply with the requirements of some relevant S117 Directions. Should this be the case Council will need to ensure this occurs prior to the plan being made. The deferred lands have a long history of community concern. All of it is in the coastal Environmental social area and much has significant environmental constraints. Zonings that occurred in the economic impacts : 1980s resulted in zone designations and boundaries which do not reflect current best practice planning outcomes for such sensitive coastal locations. The Part 3A applications over much of the land has resulted in community concern that too much development is being allowed on coastal land and not enough protection is given to its environmental values . As a result, the deferred areas were the subject of numerous submissions during the exhibition of the draft Coffs Harbour LEP 2013. The submissions raised concerns that the proposed zone boundaries had been applied without a comprehensive Local Environmental Study (LES) or equivalent studies being undertaken. Previous environmental studies were considered very out dated. After reviewing these submissions, Council decided that the most appropriate method to determine the potential use of the land was to undertake new environmental investigations and to defer the subject land from the draft Coffs Harbour LEP 2012 to do so. The preparation of environmental studies and the review of existing information for the deferred areas will ensure that appropriate land use planning frameworks are applied and transparency in the decision making process is demonstrated. This will provide obvious social, environmental and economic benefits to the community and ensure that compatible future development will prevail.

ssessment Proces	5		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	NSW Department Office of Environ NSW Rural Fire S	ment and Heritage t of Primary Industries - Fishing and Aqua ment and Heritage - NSW National Parks a	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(k	o) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional st	tudies, if required. :		
If Other, provide reason	s :		
Council has identified	the environmental	studies required and engaged consultants	s to undertake these.
Identify any internal con	sultations, if require	d :	
Part 3A			
Is the provision and fund	ding of state infrastr	ucture relevant to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :			

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
17-07-2013Amendment Deferred Areas - Council s56 letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
2013-07-17 Preparation of Planning Documents & Environmental Studies.pdf	Proposal	No
2012-12-13 Council Meeting.pdf	Proposal	Yes
2013-04-11 Council Meeting.pdf	Proposal	Yes
2013-06-27 Council Meeting.pdf	Proposal	Yes
PP_Deferred	Proposal	No
Areas_Attachment1_InformationCheck.pdf.pdf		
PP_DeferredAreas_Attachment4_DelegationCheck.pdf	Proposal	No

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

Additional Information : It is recommended that:

	 The planning proposal proceed as a routine planning proposal; The planning proposal reflect relevant current approvals issued by the
	department under the Part 3A or the transitional Part 3A provisions of the EP&A Act:
	3. The planning proposal be submitted to the Department prior to exhibition so that its form can be approved by the Director General (or delegate) pursuant
	to section 57(2); 4. The planning proposal should be completed in 12 months;
	5. A community consultation period of 28 days is necessary;
	6. The Director General (or Delegate) agrees that the inconsistency with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection remains outstanding and will
	require justification following consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service;
	7. The Director General (or Delegate) note that Council may need to obtain
	agreement to comply with the requirements of some relevant S117 Directions prior to the plan being made; and 8. The RPA not be authorised to exercise delegation to make the plan be issued for this planning proposal.
Supporting Reasons :	prior to the plan being made; and 8. The RPA not be authorised to exercise delegation to make the plan be issued
Supporting Reasons :	 prior to the plan being made; and 8. The RPA not be authorised to exercise delegation to make the plan be issued for this planning proposal. Due to the significant number of Part 3A matters over the deferred areas it is appropriate that the planning proposal be returned for the Director General's approval prior to
Supporting Reasons :	 prior to the plan being made; and 8. The RPA not be authorised to exercise delegation to make the plan be issued for this planning proposal. Due to the significant number of Part 3A matters over the deferred areas it is appropriate that the planning proposal be returned for the Director General's approval prior to



and the second second